

C.F. 100/-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR**  
**CHHATTISGARH**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2478 OF 2005**

**PETITIONER:-** / Upendra Kumar Patel S/o Bhoot Nath Patel,  
Sarpanch Gram Panchayat Baigindih,  
R/O Village Rengalmuda Block & Tehsil  
Sarangarh District Raigarh (C.G).

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS:-** 1. Seeta Ram Patel S/o Narayan Prasad Patel ✓  
R/o village Baigindih, Gram Panchayat  
Baigindih, Block and Tehsil Sarangarh  
District Raigarh (C.G).

2. Prescribe Authority /S.D.O (Revenue)  
Sarangarh District Raigarh (C.G).

3. Shyam Kumar S/o Netram Patel aged about  
38 years occupation cultivation R/o village  
Kandul Pali, Post Office Salar Tehsil  
Sarangarh District Raigarh (C.G)

4. Ravi Shanker S/o Rameswar Patel, aged  
about 39 years , occupation cultivation R/o  
village Rengalmuda Post Office Salar  
Tehsil Sarangarh District Raigarh ( C.G.)

5. Teeka Ram S/o Uday Singh Patel aged 58  
years, occupation cultivation R/o village  
Kandul Pali, Post Office Salar Tehsil  
Sarangarh District Rasigarh (C.G.)

6. Bhoopati Lal S/o Pook Ram Shrivastava aged  
about 22 years occupation cultivation R/o  
village Ghoraghati, Post Office Salar  
Tehsil Sarangarh District Raigarh (C.G.)

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE**  
**CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:-**  
as given in the cause title.

2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS:-**  
as given in the cause title.

3. **PARTICULARS OF THE ORDER AGAINST WHICH**  
**THE PETITION IS BEING MADE :-**

P.R. No. 2539/05  
Presented by Shri. K.N. Nandan  
dated 13/6/05

RECEIVED - COPY  
13.6.05  
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

WP 2478/

सन्

2005

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | <p><b>1.8.2005</b></p> <p>Shri K.N. Nande, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India questioning the legality and propriety of order dated 12.5.2005 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Prescribed Officer whereby the Prescribed Officer has held that Issue Nos.6 to 8 will also be decided along with the main petition.</p> <p>Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find any illegality or irregularity in the order passed by the Prescribed Officer and as such, this order does not require interference by this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Therefore, the petition is dismissed at the admission stage itself.</p> <p>Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2015/05 &amp; I.A. Nos.4914/05 and 4915/05 also stand dismissed.</p> |                                                        |

Sd/-  
L.C. Bhadoo  
Judge

(पीछे देखिये)